

Arizona Farm Bureau Federation 2006 Candidate Survey

1. Should property owners and public land lessees be compensated when land use is restricted by the Endangered Species Act?

1. There should not be public lands. 2. I imagine public land lessees sign an agreement in which they agree to comply with all applicable laws, so I do not see a claim, unless a new ESA demand comes out after the ink dries. 3. The ESA should have no jurisdiction on private lands, but since the guys with the guns and the will to use them say it does, then absolutely these private lands have had a taking and their owners deserve compensation for the loss as demanded in both federal and state constitutions ... not that those documents mean anything to government.

2. Should the federal government provide incentives to encourage investment in alternative fuels to decrease the US dependency on foreign oil?

The federal government should not be providing corporate welfare to anyone for any reason. The free market is incentive enough if there is a demand.

3. Should the federal estate tax be permanently eliminated?

ALL taxes should be permanently eliminated. Read about more eliminations at:
<http://gammillforcongress.com>

4. Do you support immigration reform that establishes a temporary worker program and allows workers already in the US to obtain a legal status short of citizenship?

When people talk of restricting the Right of people to travel to offer their labor and products to others they are artificially creating barriers to those goods and services, which restricts the supply and drives prices of those goods and services higher, which makes the limited number of dollars the government has left in your wallet able to purchase less. Open the borders. Make your dollar go farther. Eliminates the costs of government (border patrol). US citizenship, not immigration, is constitutionally a federal matter, all else is reserved to the states.

5. Should the current federal farm program be maintained until barriers to foreign markets are lowered?

Farmers who can't make it without welfare are invited to try their hand in honest pastures. If a foreign government wants to subsidize its exports or block imports (of farm goods in this case) that is their business, and hurts their people's standard of living. But that does not mean you are entitled to a tit-for-tat arrangement or suckling from the bosom of the taxpayer. That only hurts my people. Where the heck is the question on getting rid of onerous farm regulations, or do you support those?